## John Paul II Catholic University of Lublin Faculty of Philosophy

## academic year 2012/2013

philosophy (course in English)

field of study

first-cycle studies

full-time studies

Subject catalogue Formal Logic				
Туре:	lecture with classes			
Hours:*	winter semester	15+30	summer semester	15+30
*If a subject consists of e.g. lecture and classes, the proper hours to any classes should be given.				
ECTS:	winter semester	4	summer semester	5
Language of tuition:	English			
Method of assessment:*	winter semester	C+CM	summer semester	E+CM
*If a subject consists of lecture and	classes, the proper method of ass	essment to any classes should be g	iven.	
SUBJECT SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES				
1.	Knowledge of main concepts, problems, and achievements in logic, including meta-logic			
2.	Knowledge of propositional logic: classical and non-classcial systems			
3.	Ability to solve logical problems			
4.	Sensitivity to logical validity and logical fallacies.			
	PREREQUISITE	E (KNOWLEDGE, SKILLS, C	COMPETENCE, OTHERS)	
1.		secondary schoo	ol mathematical knowledge	
LEARNING OUTCOMES Correla outcoutcoutcoutcoutcoutcoutcoutcoutcoutc			Correlation with programme learning outcomes	
Knowledge				
1.	Student knows main types of (linguistic) expressions, basic patterns of justifications, and main types of knowledge and understand their specificity.			K_W02, K_W03
2.	Student knows and unders of theories and	stands main principles of dev d significance and proofs of r	elopment of theories and properties main limitation theorems.	K_W02, K_W03
Skills				
1.	Student is able to analys	e simple arguments, in partic structure and to assess the	cular are able to define their logical ir validity.	K_U05

2.	Student is able to solve problems in classical propositional logic. K_UG			
3.	Students are able to solve simple problems in some non-classical propositional logics.	K_U04, K_U05		
4.	Student is able to evaluate the validity of formal proofs.	K_U04		
5.	Student is able to identify and describe main logical fallacies.	K_U04, K_U05		
	Social Competence			
1.	Student exhibits willingness of collaborative problem solving and sound discussion.	K_K04		
	TEACHING CONTENT (SUBJECT DESCRIPTION)			
higher-order logics. Logic vs arithmetic; adequacy and decidability. Origin of non-classical logics; logic in philosophical debates; selected non- classcial logics. Structure and properties of theories; first-order theories; axiomatisation, proofs, definitions. Rich theories, diagonalisation, limitiation theorems; first and second Godel theorems; Tarski's theorem; Church thesis. Pluralism of types of knowledge; types of science.				
TEACHING METHODS*				
Lecture: traditional lecture with problem solving and discussion. Classes: analysis of texts, collaborative problem solving, and discussion.				
*If a subject consists of lecture and classes, the proper teaching methods to any classes should be given.				
	METHODS OF LEARNING ACHIEVEMENTS ASSESSMENT*			
Lecture				
1.	Exam which covers all knowledge and abilities developed during lecture and classes - with n assessment)	o extra lectures (90 % of		
2.	Student's activity during discussion (10 % of assessment)			

Classes				
1.	6 tests on previously discussed topics, which will be anounced at least one week in advance (50 % of assessment)			
2.	knowledge of current topics (30 % of assessment)			
3.	presence and activity during classes (20 % assessment)			
GRADING SCALE*				

LEARNING OUTCOMES	2 unsatisfactory (fail)	3 satisfactory	4 good	5 very good
Knowledge	Student does not have the required knowledge of inference or definitions. Student does not have elementary knowledge on structure of theories, types of knowledge or limitation theorems.	Student has the required knowledge on structure, properties, types, and validity of inference. Student has the general knowledge on structure of theories, types of knowledge and the content of limitation theorems.	Student' knowledge covers the whole content of the lecture but may be deficient with respect to insignificant details.	Student's knowledge is sound and organised, and covers the whole content of the lecture. He or she is able to use this knowledge in problem solving.
Competence	Student is not able to analyse arguments, identify logical fallacies, and solve logical problems.	Student is able to identify the structure of simple arguments, discuss their validity, identify and describe logical fallacies. With the tutor's help he or she is able to solve simple problems from formal logic.	Student is able to solve by him- or herself typical problems in the range of all required competencies.	Student is able to solve by him- or herself typical and more complex problems in the range of all required competencies. He or she is able to formulate problems, to indicate possible solutions, and find examples.
Social Competence	Student does not engage him- or herself in the educational process.	Student engags him- or herself in the educational process.	Student engages him- or herself in the educational process.	Student' engagement in the educational process is exemplary.
Sometimes the plus symbol or decimal is used to modify the numerical grades.				
STUDENT WORKLOAD				
Activity		Average time students typically need to complete proper learning activity*		
office hours			90	
homework including individual problem solving		150		
self-study before exam			30	
		TOTAL HOURS:	270	

\* Workload indicates the time students typically need to complete all learning activities required to achieve the expected learning outcomes. In most cases, student workload ranges from 1,500 to 1,800 hours for an academic year, whereby one credit corresponds to 25 to 30 hours of work.

TOTAL ECTS:		9		
REQUIRED READING LIST				
1.	lecture notes available on tutor's homepage			
RECOMENDED READING LIST				
1.	D. Bonevac, Deduction. Introductory Symbolic Logic, Blackwell Publishers Ltd., 2003.			
2.	J. C. Beall, B. C. van Fraassen, Possibilities and Paradox. An Introduction to Modal and Many-Valued Logic, Oxford 2003.			
3.	R. M. Smullyan, Goedel's Incompleteness Theorems, Oxford 2001.			

Lublin 1.10.2012

place, date

dr hab. Paweł Garbacz

signature