A lie is incompatible with the objective state of affairs, meaning that it is an assertion divergent from the truth. It is an act of deliberately bringing someone into error; at its core is the offender’s free decision to express thoughts contrary to his own conviction on a given matter – the liar in fact says what is untrue not because he does not know the truth, but because someone to whom he transmits this message will accept as truth what is not. And he does so with the knowledge and intention of convincing someone that he knows the truth.

However a lie is considered by some to be an ordinary means of communication, we can conclude that this is not so. There is no doubt that lying is an example of some kind of anti-communication. It is in fact an action which on the surface resembles an act of communication. Due to its nature, which is not to build up a community, but the realization of self-serving, unauthorized purposes, it does not deserve to be called a communicative action.